

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILIN	G DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/936,111	01/1	6/2002	Gianni Collina	US 18026	9340	
7590 02/23/2005				EXAM	EXAMINER	
Joanne W Pat			LU, C CAIXIA			
Bassel North America Inc 912 Appleton Road			١	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Elkton, MD			1713			
			DATE MAILED: 02/23/2005			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		(
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/936,111	COLLINA ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Caixia Lu	1713
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	86(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
<ul> <li>1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 04 Fe</li> <li>2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This</li> <li>3) Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E</li> </ul>	action is non-final.  ace except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-40 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 16-40 is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-15 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	election requirement.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce		Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcting 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•	
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Specification

1. The amendment filed February 4, 2005 is objected to under 35 U.S.C. 132 because it introduces new matter into the disclosure. 35 U.S.C. 132 states that no amendment shall introduce new matter into the disclosure of the invention. The added material which is not supported by the original disclosure and applicants are not allowed to alter the content of the specification unless it is an obvious typographic. However, applicants' amendment to the specification is not obvious.

Applicant is required to cancel the new matter in the reply to this Office Action.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 3. Claims 1-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Govoni et al. (US 5,589,549) in view of Canich et al. (US 6,194,341) for the same rationale as set forth in the previous Office action mailed on November 4, 2004.

## Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments filed February 4, 2005 have been fully considered. The rejection of claims 1-15 under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement is withdrawn in view applicants' amendment. The rejection of claims 1-15 under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement are also withdrawn because it is not necessary to support

Application/Control Number: 09/936,111

Art Unit: 1713

the claims with working examples in general. However, the rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are maintained for the following reasons.

Applicants state that applicants' porous polymer prepared in the presence of a Ziegler catalyst function as the support for the later transition metal catalyst which overcome the shortcomings of lowed catalytic activities and branch-producing tendencies of silica or alumina supported late transition metal catalyst. However, applicants need to provide evidence to support such statement. Furthermore, it is the examiner's position that Govoni's porous olefin polymer prepared by the Ziegler catalyst in the first stage is functioned as a support for the catalyst composition of the second stage polymerization and, thus, provides the same advantages as applicants' porous polymer support.

Applicants argue that Canich teaches away from polymerizing olefins by means of a multistage polymerization process and use of a porous olefinic polymer carrier.

One cannot show non-obviousness by attacking references individually where, as here, the rejections are based on combinations of references. In re Keller, 208 USPQ 871 (CCPA 1981). The primary reference, Govoni, expressly teaches the multistage polymerization process and the porous polymer prepared by Ziegler catalyst in the first stage to be contacted with the transition metal catalyst in the treatment stage (col. 7, lines 25-54). A skilled artisan would have understood that the porous polyolefin supported transition metal catalyst was formed in the treatment stage. Although Govoni does not expressly teach a late transition metal catalyst in the second stage polymerization. Govoni does generally teach that the multistage process can work with

Application/Control Number: 09/936,111

Art Unit: 1713

different catalyst systems in various stages to prepare a wide range of olefinic polymer compositions (col. 2, lines 38-41). Canich is used as the secondary reference for its teaching of the obvious combination of a Ziegler catalyst and late transition metal catalyst. As the examiner stated in the previous Office action, "Govoni's multistage polymerization process allows individual control of the fractions of polyolefins prepared under each of the catalysts, and, thus, provide more freedom for tailoring the final polymer compositions", the motivation for replace the metallocene catalyst in Govoni's second stage polymerization with the late transition metal catalyst to provide a polyolefin blend with various microstructure does exist.

As discussed during the interview of March 4, 2005, applicants need to provide further evidence show criticality and unexpected results in order to overcome the rejections.

### Conclusion

5. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Application/Control Number: 09/936,111

**Art Unit: 1713** 

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Caixia Lu whose telephone number is (571) 272-1106. The examiner can normally be reached from 9:00 am to 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful and the matter is urgent, the examiner's supervisor, David Wu, can be reached at (571) 272-1114. The fax numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-1700.

Čaixia Lu, Ph. D. Primary Examiner February 18, 2005